

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office. Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 010121-9857-01 10/068,237 02/06/2002 Raymond A. Mosley 7699 **EXAMINER** 09/24/2004 David R. Price RICHMAN, GLENN E Michael Best & Friedrich LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 100 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202-4108 3764

DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			77
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	19
	10/068,237	MOSLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	1
	Glenn Richman	3764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this comminished by the consideration of the consideration of the comminished by the consideration of the consideration of the consideration of the comminished by the consideration of the consideration	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal mat		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 7-20 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-6 and 21-23 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer  application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in a point of the contract of	Application No  n received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/6/02.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/068,237

Art Unit: 3764

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Trago et al

Trago et al disclose a frame; a power supply, a motor coupled to the power supply (12), the motor including a shaft and a stator fixedly coupled to the frame (fig. 2), at least one bearing coupled to the shaft, and a rotor coupled to the at least one bearing (col. 3,. Lines 44-50), the rotor including a portion that surrounds at least a portion of the stator (col. 3,. Lines 44-50) and a conveyer coupled to the frame and to the rotor, the conveyer being driven at a rotational speed that is different than a rotational speed of the rotor (col. 3,. Lines 44-65).

As for claims 2-3, Trago et al further disclose the shaft and stator are a unitary element (col. 3, lines 25-43, )the stator includes one or more wires that create a plurality of magnetic poles when the motor receives an electrical power (col. 4, lines 27-32), and wherein the rotor includes a plurality of magnets

Application/Control Number: 10/068,237

Art Unit: 3764

operable to magnetically interact with the plurality of magnetic poles, thereby causing rotation of the rotor (col. 3, lines 57-65).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7,8,14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trago et al in view of Lee et al.

Trago et al do not disclose a pulley and belt assembly coupled to a rotor.

Lee et al disclose a disclose a pulley and belt assembly coupled to a rotor(col. 4, lines 8-14).

It would have been obvious to use Lee et al's pulley with Trago et al, as it is well known in the art, as taught by Lee et al, to sue a pulley and belt system for driving a treadmill.

As for claim 8, Trago et al further disclose a pulley-and-belt assembly having at least one pulley and at least one belt, the pulley-and-belt assembly coupling the rotor to the conveyer (col. 1, lines 24-28).

As for claim 15, Trago et al further discloses a controller (col. 3, lines 17-20)

Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trago et al in view of Chen.

Trago et al do not disclose a gear assembly having two or more gears, the gear assembly coupling the rotor to the conveyer.

Chen discloses a gear assembly having two or more gears, the gear assembly coupling the rotor to the conveyer (col. 4, lines 10-30).

It would have been obvious to sue Chen's gear assembly with Trago et al's rotor, as it is a well known method as taught by Chen, for driving a treadmill.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-6, and 21-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn Richman whose telephone number is 703 308-3170. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/068,237

Art Unit: 3764

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Glenn Richman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3764